

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|----------------|----------------------|---------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/846,707 | 04/30/2001 | Witold Kula | SJ09-2000-0121US1IBM1P002 | 4927 | |
| 28875 75 | 590 02/18/2004 | | EXAMINER | | |
| SILICON VALLEY INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP | | | MILLER, BRIAN E | | |
| P.O. BOX 721120 | | | | | |
| SAN JOSE, CA | A 95172-1120 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2652 | 9 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 02/18/2004 | . / | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 09/846,707 | KULA ET AL. | |
| • | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Brian E. Miller | 2652 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 11 February 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appelexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | void abandonment of this application to the same of th | cation. A proper re ch places the appli | ply to a cation in |
| PERIOD FOR RE | <u>[PLY</u> [check either a) or b)] | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three movement patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in | f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. \$ 136(a) and the appropriate ex the final Office action; or | See MPEP se extension fee ttension fee under (2) as set forth in |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b | ecause: | • | |
| (a) M they raise new issues that would require furth | er considération and/or search (| (see NOTE below); | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note I | | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or | in better form for appeal by mat | erially reducing or | simplifying the |
| (d) they present additional claims without cancel | ling a corresponding number of | finally rejected clair | ms. |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. | , | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection | ction(s): | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | be allowable if submitted in a s | separate, timely file | d amendment |
| 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: | | sidered but does No | OT place the |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | cause it is not directed SOLELY | to issues which we | ere newly |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w | | | and an |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-21</u> . | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| 8 The drawing correction filed on is a) and and | proved or h) disapproved by | the Examiner | |

Brian E. Miller Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2652

10. Other: ____

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).



Continuation of 2. NOTE: The substantial proposed limitations to the claims would require further consideration and/or search.